



William Blake - Christ Nailed to The Cross the Third Hour

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: “The latest war is a dramatic manifestation of the materialistic view that some type of ideal world - the New World Order - can be created by modern technology and naked force. This is a major fallacy which flies in the face of spiritual realities. We can predict with complete certainty that the world is entering a new and more revolutionary period.

Australia is a major outpost of British culture, even though somewhat diluted by the multicultural virus, and, if it can preserve itself by its own efforts, could play a major role in the regeneration of the Anglo-Saxon peoples everywhere. But the deeper international currents have developed such a momentum that unless Australia can effectively defend itself against the internationalist tide, it is now living on borrowed time.” - *Eric D. Butler 1991*

“Or else, how can one enter a strong man’s house and spoil his goods, except he first bind the strong man and then he will spoil his house.” - *Matthew 12:27.*

THE HISTORICAL CULTIVATION OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH

In the *On Target* edition of 11 March 2016, (Volume No.52 No.10) the multiculturalism issue was raised by writers Mrs. Vera West, Ian Wilson, LL.B., and even James Reed. It seems a number of main stream journalists are waking up to what 'multiculturalism' in effect really means.

What the mainstream journalists may not be aware of is the fact that much of the West's history has its roots in Christian philosophy.

This Easter Week, a Holy Season for Christians, is an appropriate time to sum up some of the effects upon the founding of our nation and upon us that over the centuries were influenced by Christian teaching.

Some Background

A Christian civilisation was Christ-centred, not based upon the deification of Man. Art, literature and music were all mirrors of the Christian ideal.

Western civilisation has been correctly described as a Christian civilisation. It was, of course, never a completely Christian civilisation. But over two thousand years it was becoming increasingly so because enough Christians strove to make all man's activities reflect the Christian concept of reality and its value system.

The development of a Christian orientated system of constitutionalism and government reached its highest point in England, along with a system of Common Law, a system of law which grew out of the climate created by the Christian Church.

Stress was placed on the value of every individual, who must always be presumed to be innocent until proved guilty by a jury of his/her peers.

"You shall love your neighbour as yourself": That is, a relationship based on mutual love and co-operation.

Compassion and mercy were Christian virtues along with good manners and chivalry, particularly towards women.

While the Greek and Roman civilisations prepared the way for the advent of Christianity, Christian civilisation was completely different from all past civilisations.

It was the Christian teaching that man was a special creature made in God's image, with a supernatural destiny, which gave the human person a significance previously unknown anywhere. It freed the individual from the domination of the group.

Man now saw himself as part of a type of cosmic spiritual drama, and felt that he had the capacity to shape history. Christianity was a religion of hope, and encouraged the development of man's most divine attribute, individual creativeness.

The question of government, which is basically one concerning power, has been central to the human drama from the beginning of time. The Greek and the Roman philosophers grappled with the problem of how to protect the individual from the natural tendency of all governments to increase their own power, and the corrupting influence of all power, particularly centralised power, but with little success.

Christ well understood the temptations of power, as witnessed by his rejection of the offer of World dominion in the wilderness while, in one statement, answering a trick question by the Pharisees, He resolved the problem concerning government:

Christ said that while the individual must render unto Caesar - the government - that which legitimately belonged to Caesar, the individual must also render unto God that which belongs to God.

This required that the power was not excessive, but was limited to the true purposes of government.

Tell me gentle reader, have you ever given serious thought as to what you understand are the true purposes of a government?

Christ's statement gave government a legitimacy and authority it had not previously enjoyed, now being elevated to a special role in the divine order. But that role had to be limited, with government accepting the higher authority of God.

The Romans did not persecute the early Christians, primarily because they were a new religious movement. The Romans generally were tolerant about new religions, of which there were a number.

What concerned them were the unique features of the Christian claim that the individual's first loyalty was to God, not the State.

Christ offered a completely new way of life, one which not only transformed individuals and their relations one with the other, but also their relationship to institutions, including government.

Christ taught the explosive truth that the Kingdom of God was not external but was within each individual, who could find it by sincerely, earnestly searching.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Christ's teachings and, of course, His works, came as a bombshell in a society groaning under the rigid imposition of Pharisaical regulations which sought to govern every aspect of human behaviour, with the priests full of the spirit of self-righteousness.

The essence of Christ's revelation was that Man is born in the image of God, that every individual is a unique being, and that everyone counts.

Freedom was essential for the individual to seek to know God, and to love Him. "The Truth shall make you free", said Christ, stating that he was the Truth. "Follow me," he said.

Christ said that He had come that Man might enjoy the life more abundant: "I am come that they may have life, and may have it more abundant".

The traditional Christian view is that every individual is entitled to inviolate rights, which no government, irrespective of the size of its majority, can take away.

Based on Christ's words, and a Natural Law philosophy reaching back to the days of the famous Greek philosophers, the traditional Christian view has been that every individual has the right to defend himself against all threats to his life, and that of his family.

The Truth was not an end in itself, but a means to an end: Freedom, freedom was for all.

There are no recorded references of a call for sacrifices. "My yoke is easy, my burden is light", said Christ.

Christ's followers were taught to pray that their loving Father's "will" be done on earth, as it was in Heaven. The Lord's prayer is the answer to those who claim that Christianity is "too otherworldly".

"The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath", was one of Christ's statements which enraged his bitter enemies.

The financial policies being pursued with growing

FIGHTING THE GAY MARRIAGE PLEBISCITE by Mrs Vera West

Perhaps one could be forgiven for thinking that the word "plebiscite" leaned a bit too heavy on the word "pleb" part - getting a rubber stamp from the masses for a policy which the elites have essentially already nailed into place.

(The word "plebiscite" comes from the Latin, "*plebiscitum*" = *decree of the people*).

We, the people, have been told that the Liberals will hold a same-sex/homosexual marriage plebiscite before the end of the year if re-elected - and that is straight from the mouth of the Attorney-General.

(*The Australian, March 7, 2016, p.1*)

While many MPs were "surprised", the Prime Minister's

convulsions and social disintegration being inevitable, are fundamentally anti-Christian, in that they seek to make the individual subservient to systems.

People could not be trusted with Freedom

But how, was the question, *in the absence* of a maze of regulations and freedom were individual relations to be governed?

Christ gave that amazingly simple answer: "Individuals should love God and one another".

Commenting on the Law of Love, C.H. Douglas said that so far from being a mere piece of sloppy sentimentalism, it was a brilliant exposition of a vital political principle. In practise it transforms societies.

If every individual seeks to love God the Father, striving to discover and apply His laws in every sphere of human living, and also loves his neighbour as a fellow child of the same Father, he does not need man-made regulations to ensure that he respects the rights and freedoms of his fellows.

There was a time when the Christian law of love governed societies much more than it does today.

- Houses could be left unlocked because private property rights were respected.
- Women could walk the streets in safety at all times.
- The abduction and sexual abuse of children was unknown.
- Business could be conducted with little stress on written agreements.
- A man's word was "his bond".

God's goodness and love, His immediate and all pervading presence could be directly perceived in each person's life.

"Greater love had no man, than a willingness to lay down his own life for a friend".

The great Australian tradition of mateship was/is a reflection of the spirit of the Law of Love.

A Blessed and Holy Easter to All Our Readers ***

office said in reply: "The government has always been committed to a plebiscite as soon as possible after the election". The same for Labor.

So, there you have it. Referendums are only held by our political class on things that the ruling elites want. Thus, one will never get a formal referendum on immigration and multiculturalism (but the "Reduce Immigration" write on ballot paper idea is excellent).

To simplify: we cannot trust our "Leaders" so simply say "NO" and "NO!" a thousand times over!

Tell everybody you meet to vote "NO" because we simply cannot trust them. ***

THE TRUMP SAGA SHOWS THE ELITES IN ACTION by Peter West

Donald Trump will not be president of the United States, no matter how many ordinary Americans vote for him. The Dark Lords of Mordor will not allow a populist and economic nationalist to throw a spanner in their agenda. The Dark Lords do not consult with me, so I don't know exactly how they will stop Trump, but stop him they will.

It is interesting to read the scribblings of a nervous chattering class, the "intellectuals" about the rise of Trump. Niall Ferguson says that there is nothing to worry about because populism "clearly doesn't work". Oh, so only the ideologies of the globalist elites do, does it? These same people who give us cycles of depression and war; who have destroyed billions of people. (*The WE Australian*, March 5-6, 2016, p.22)

Then there is Leftist professor Noam Chomsky, who said that Trump is winning "because White America is dying". (*Huffington Post.com*, February 26, 2016) This is not exactly a racio-ethnic observation, but more health related:

"He's evidently appealing to deep feelings of anger, fear, frustration, hopelessness, probably among sectors like those seeing an increase in mortality, something unheard of apart from war catastrophe".

In other words, it is not populism but the system of the elites, globalist cosmopolitanism, which has failed the lower classes, especially whites. Chomsky, while making a few good points, but can't get past the immigration issues and says "Signs are familiar, and here it does evoke some memories of the rise of European Fascism". If it does it is surely because the elites are up to their old tricks.

Peggy Noonan, in a surprising article behind a pay wall at the *Wall Street Journal* sheds some insights. The title of the article is "Trump and the Rise of the Unprotected: Why Political Professionals are Struggling to Make Sense of the World They Created". To her credit she

points out the uncomfortable truth that the "protected", or the elites make policy and do not suffer. They live in safe neighbourhoods and have security,... (armed guards). "Because they are protected they feel they can do pretty much anything, impose any reality. They're insulated from many of the effects of their own decisions".

This is especially so for immigration which the elites benefit from, especially with real estate competition.

Noonan puts it thus: "Many Americans suffered from illegal immigration - its impact on labour markets, financial costs, crime, the sense that the rule of law was collapsing. But the protected did fine - more workers at lower wages. No effect of illegal immigration was likely to hurt them personally".

The New Year's Eve rapes and sexual assaults in Cologne showed that the immigration policy of the elites was not about protecting the common people, on the contrary.

She says: "Packs of men said to be recent migrants groped and molested groups of young women. It was called a clash of cultures, and it was that, but it was also wholly predictable if any policymaker had cared to think about it. And it was not the protected who were the victims - not a daughter of EU officials or members of the Bundestag. It was middle-class and working-class girls - the unprotected, who didn't even immediately protest what had happened to them. They must have understood that in the general scheme of things they are nobodies".

Noonan concludes on a note much as I have concluded many of my articles: the elites "don't seem to care that much about their unprotected fellow citizens".

The unprotected are angry and are seeking alternatives, but may not yet see "how serious this moment is, or their role in it".

Let us continue to show the unprotected the way. ***

FIRE IN THE HOLE: the Dangers of Firebreak Clearing by Ian Wilson LL.B.

As reported at *Queensland Country Life.com*, March 2, 2016, the Eidsvold Firebreak Clearing Case is heading into a fourth, and probably now a fifth week.

The case involves the defendant, Mike Baker, who owns Chess Park, a 9,000 ha property, allegedly breaching the Forestry Act and the Vegetation Management Act by constructing 100m series of fire breaks across the property for fire prevention/fire control. There were three large bushfires in 2011 and another in 2012, so bushfire prevention is a serious matter.

The alleged breach relates to the width of the firebreaks

of remnant vegetation, which must be less than 10m for firebreaks and 5m either side of the fence.

From a jurist prudential point of view the case is of concern because of an alleged breach of common law principles.

The article quotes Mr Baker's lawyer Tom Marland: "Despite a notice of forest practice being lodged on the property and clearing being conducted in compliance with the relevant code, no exemption has been applied by the prosecution.

(continued on next page)

(continued on next page) Effectively, the prosecution is leaving the proof of compliance with the code up to Mr Baker while adducing no evidence to prove he is in breach. It is effectively an attempt to reverse onus of proof”.

Clearly the defence will argue this issue out in the case. Beyond this, without seeing transcripts there is not much else I can say.

As a political point though the bigger issue is the almost cold-like political correctness that has gone into native vegetation legislation. Much native vegetation is a serious fire threat and gum trees just explode in

the intense bushfire heat. Lives and property are lost. Sensible clearing and firebreaks are essential for fire safety.

The concern is that the Baker case is a test case and if he loses, the Queensland government will roll out a multitude of prosecutions for similar ‘breaches’.

This is one more issue that needs serious debate since it is a threat to all rural landholders, and not just Queensland because there are parallel laws in other states.

So landholders, get ready!
AGENDA 2030 here we come! ***

ANALYSIS OF THE RUSSIAN MILITARY PULLOUT FROM SYRIA by The Saker

Vladimir Putin has just ordered the withdrawal of the Russian forces in Syria:

“I consider the objectives that have been set for the Defense Ministry to be generally accomplished. That is why I order to start withdrawal of the main part of our military group from the territory of the Syrian Arab Republic starting from tomorrow,” Putin said on Monday during a meeting with Shoigu and Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov.

“In a short period of time Russia has created a small but very effective military group in Syria. The effective work of our military forces allowed the peace process to begin,” Putin said, adding that “Russian government troops and [Syria’s] patriotic forces have changed the situation in the fight with international terrorism and have *seized-ed* the initiative.”

The first question which needs to be asked is whether this is correct: have the Russians achieved their objective or not?...Putin clearly and officially spelled out what the purpose of the Russian intervention was. On October 11th, he declared the following in an interview with Vladimir Soloviev on the TV channel Russia 1:

“Our objective is to stabilize the legitimate authority and create conditions for a political compromise”...

Evaluation

I am confident that the Russians planned their withdrawal at least as carefully as they planned their intervention and that they have left as many open options as possible...

Like any good chess player, Putin knows that one of the key factors in any war is time and so far Putin has timed his every move superbly. Yes, there were times in the past when I got really worried about what looked to me as either too much waiting or as dangerous risk-taking, but every single time my fears ended up being

unfounded. And yes, I can easily muster up a long list of potentially catastrophic scenarios for Syria, but I think that this would only make sense if Putin had, like Obama, a long and impressive list of failures, disasters, miscalculations and embarrassing defeats on his record. But he does not. In fact, what I see is an amazing list of successes achieved against very difficult odds. And the key to Putin’s success might well be that he is a hardcore realist.

Russia is still weak. Yes, she is stronger than in the past and she is rising up very fast, but she still is weak, especially in comparison to the still immense AngloZionist Empire whose resources simply dwarf Russia’s in most categories. However, this comparative weakness also forces the Kremlin to be very careful. When an empire is rich and powerful, being arrogant and over-estimating your own capabilities is not nearly as bad as when a much weaker country does it. Just look at the USA under Obama, they went from one humiliating and costly defeat to another – yet they are still here and still powerful, almost as powerful as they used to be 10 years ago. While in the long run the kind of hubris and gross incompetence we nowadays observe in US decision-makers will result in the inevitable collapse of the Empire, in the medium to short term there is no truly painful price to pay for failure.

Russia does not have such a “luxury of power,” she has to make every bit count and she has to plan each move with utmost precision. Just like a tightrope walker with no safety harness, Putin knows that a single misstep can have catastrophic consequences.

To withdraw the bulk of the Russian military task force in Syria right now is a gutsy and potentially risky move.

Read further: <http://thesaker.is/analysis-of-the-russian-military-pullout-from-syria/The Saker> ***

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9600 0677
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.

All electoral comment authorised by Ken Grundy, Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

EXPOSING THE LIBYAN AGENDA: A Closer Look at Hillary's Emails by Ellen Brown

Critics have long questioned why violent intervention was necessary in Libya. Hillary Clinton's recently published emails confirm that it was less about protecting the people from a dictator than about money, banking, and preventing African economic sovereignty.

The brief visit of then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton to Libya in October 2011 was referred to by the media as a "victory lap." "We came, we saw, he died!" she crowed in a CBS video interview on hearing of the capture and brutal murder of Libyan leader Muammar al-Gaddafi.

But the victory lap, write Scott Shane and Jo Becker in the *New York Times*, was premature. Libya was relegated to the back burner by the State Department, "as the country dissolved into chaos, leading to a civil war that would destabilize the region, fueling the refugee crisis in Europe and allowing the Islamic State to establish a Libyan haven that the United States is now desperately trying to contain."

...Before 2011, Libya had achieved economic independence, with its own water, its own food, its own oil, its own money, and its own state-owned bank. It had arisen under Gaddafi from one of the poorest of countries to the richest in Africa. Education and medical treatment were free; having a home was considered a human right; and Libyans participated in an original system of local democracy. The country boasted the world's largest irrigation system, the Great Man-made River project, which brought water from the desert to the cities and coastal areas; and Gaddafi was embarking on a program to spread this model throughout Africa.

But that was before US-NATO forces bombed the irrigation system and wreaked havoc on the country. Today the situation is so dire that President Obama has asked his advisors to draw up options including a new military front in Libya, and the Defense Department is reportedly standing ready with "the full spectrum of military operations required."

Mission Accomplished?

Of the 3,000 emails released from Hillary Clinton's private email server in late December 2015, about a third were from her close confidante Sidney Blumenthal, the attorney who defended her husband in the Monica Lewinsky case. One of these emails, dated April 2, 2011, reads in part:

Gaddafi's government holds 143 tons of gold, and a similar amount in silver This gold was accumulated prior to the current rebellion and was intended to be used to establish a pan-African currency based on the Libyan golden Dinar. This plan was designed to provide the

ON TARGET

Francophone African Countries with an alternative to the French franc (CFA).

In a "source comment," the original declassified email adds:

According to knowledgeable individuals this quantity of gold and silver is valued at more than \$7 billion. French intelligence officers discovered this plan shortly after the current rebellion began, and this was one of the factors that influenced President Nicolas Sarkozy's decision to commit France to the attack on Libya. According to these individuals Sarkozy's plans are driven by the following issues:

- * A desire to gain a greater share of Libya oil production,
- * Increase French influence in North Africa,
- * Improve his internal political situation in France,
- * Provide the French military with an opportunity to reassert its position in the world,
- * Address the concern of his advisors over Gaddafi's long term plans to supplant France as the dominant power in Francophone Africa

Conspicuously absent is any mention of humanitarian concerns. **The objectives are money, power and oil.**

Toppling the Global Financial Scheme

Gaddafi's threatened attempt to establish an independent African currency was not taken lightly by Western interests. In 2011, Sarkozy reportedly called the Libyan leader a threat to the financial security of the world. How could this tiny country of six million people pose such a threat?

In Libya, Gaddafi also broke the pact; but he did more than just sell his oil in another currency...

For decades, Libya and other African countries had been attempting to create a pan-African gold standard. Libya's al-Gaddafi and other heads of African States had wanted an independent, pan-African, "hard currency."

Under al-Gaddafi's leadership, African nations had convened at least twice for monetary unification. The countries discussed the possibility of using the Libyan dinar and the silver dirham as the only possible money to buy African oil.

Until the recent US/NATO invasion, the gold dinar was issued by the Central Bank of Libya (CBL). The Libyan bank was 100% state owned and independent. Foreigners had to go through the CBL to do business with Libya. The Central Bank of Libya issued the dinar, using the country's 143.8 tons of gold.

Libya's Gaddafi (African Union 2009 Chair) conceived and financed a plan to unify the sovereign States of Africa with one gold currency (United States of Africa).

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page) In 2004, a pan-African Parliament (53 nations) laid plans for the African Economic Community – with a single gold currency by 2023.

African oil-producing nations were planning to abandon the petro-dollar, and demand gold payment for oil/gas.

Showing What Is Possible

Gaddafi had done more than organize an African monetary coup. He had demonstrated that financial independence could be achieved. His greatest infrastructure project, the Great Man-made River, was turning arid regions into a breadbasket for Libya; and the \$33 billion project was being funded interest-free without foreign debt, through Libya's own state-owned bank.

That could explain why this critical piece of infrastructure was destroyed in 2011. NATO not only bombed the pipeline but finished off the project by bombing the factory producing the pipes necessary to repair it. Crippling a civilian irrigation system serving up to 70% of the population hardly looks like humanitarian intervention. Rather, as Canadian Professor Maximilian Forte put it in his heavily researched book *Slouching Towards Sirte: NATO's War on Libya and Africa*:

The goal of US military intervention was to disrupt an emerging pattern of independence and a network of collaboration within Africa that would facilitate increased African self-reliance. This is at odds with the geostrategic and political economic ambitions of extra-continental European powers, namely the US.

THE MEDIA BIAS AGAINST GUNS by John Steele

An article which illustrates the Australian media is bias against gun ownership, and attempts to put guns in a bad light is: "Imports of Adler shotgun pouring into Aust, March 4, 2016 at News.com.au

Right from the first paragraph of the article expresses horror at thousands of "rapid-fire shot guns" flooding Australia "sidestepping a ban on a previous version of the controversial weapon imposed following the deadly Sydney Seige".

For a start, the shot gun, an illegal one, used in the Sydney Seige was not a lever action shotgun, and not an Adler. Lever action shot guns had been available previously, such as the Italian Chiappa and also a Chinese made gun. The Adler is nothing special, only with a smoother action.

The article goes on to pile up horrors, saying that in some states the guns were "sold to shooters under the least restrictive weapon category, which includes air rifles and paintball guns".

Mystery Solved

Hilary Clinton's emails shed light on another enigma remarked on by early commentators. Why, within weeks of initiating fighting, did the rebels set up their own central bank? Robert Wenzel wrote in *The Economic Policy Journal* in 2011:

"This suggests we have a bit more than a rag tag bunch of rebels running around and that there are some pretty sophisticated influences. I have never before heard of a central bank being created in just a matter of weeks out of a popular uprising".

It was all highly suspicious, but as Alex Newman concluded in a November 2011 article:

"Whether salvaging central banking and the corrupt global monetary system were truly among the reasons for Gaddafi's overthrow . . . may never be known for certain – at least not publicly".

There the matter would have remained – suspicious but unverified like so many stories of fraud and corruption – but for the publication of Hillary Clinton's emails after an FBI probe. They add substantial weight to Newman's suspicions: "**violent intervention was not chiefly about the security of the people. It was about the security of global banking, money and oil**". ***

Ref: <http://ellenbrown.com/2016/03/13/exposing-the-libyan-agenda-a-closer-look-at-hillarys-emails/>

This is deliberately misleading. The category also includes centrefire rifles.

The idea of the article is to create panic in urban sheeple that massacre weapons are on sale, with little restriction. Panic!

And it gets worse: "The gun-control lobby argues that even the modified weapon . . . Should be classified as a semiautomatic".

The various firearms Act define "semi-automatic", as one shot by one trigger pull. The Adler by definition is not "semi-automatic". They want to twist the definition because they hate the gun, but the warp of logic is like defining a manual car as an auto. It's just that bad.

The gun lobby needs to be more on the mark and smartly protest against such bias reporting!

Ref: <http://www.news.com.au/national/breaking-news/imports-of-adler-shotgun-pouring-into-aust/news-story/55ab2c0114969175b1119f52c6c77704>.

IS UNCLE LEN FIT ENOUGH TO BECOME A COP? by Len in Training

Never give up hope I say! Once upon a time people wanting to become police in South Australia had to pass a gruesome physical test which involved leaping over a number of fences, crawling, climbing, running and lifting car wheels. It was a physical fitness test that only the really fit passed. That was mostly for men but fit women could also get in.

But now ("New Physical Test Fits the Bill for More Women Cops" in *The Advertiser*, March 5, 2016, p.9), this physical test is regarded as "discriminatory" and a new test is in to "make it easier for women to join the force".

The new test has a shorter run, less hurdles, some push-ups and firing of a pistol. One still needs to have a pulse to do it, but it is much easier than before.

So, the old test had to be dropped because it was "inherently discriminatory against women" because "they are physiologically different". Don't for a moment think that the higher fitness standard stands because policemen have to fight, and that women should face the facts of nature. No sir, I mean madame! PC before public safety!

Now, what is needed is a fitness test that over-weight and generally-ill and ageing Uncle Len can pass. How about such stunts as getting into and out of a wheelchair and spinning around on the spot? Or a three metre dash using a walking frame? Or the famous arm bending/biceps exercise: how many drinks of plonk can be tipped down one's throat in one minute?

Remember: discrimination is wrong, so there is no reason why decaying Uncle Len could not also be a cop. Don't just lower the bar: throw it away! Diversity, the new god says so!

SOCIAL CREDIT TRAINING COURSE

We have recently expanded the Training opportunities for Social Crediters. Go to <http://thecross-roads.org/sect/> and register for more information. *Nat Dir*

"Who Called the Cook a Bastard"
by Brig. C. Stanton Hicks - a personal account of a one man campaign to improve the feeding of the soldier - \$13.00 posted to anywhere in Australia from PO Box 27 Happy Valley SA 5159

THE COMING HIGH COURT CHALLENGE TO SECTION 18C RDA

by Ian Wilson LL.B.

The Australian Law Reform Commission has questioned the constitutional validity of section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act. The president of the Australian Law Reform Commission addressed the issue in an article "Offence Under Section 18C is a Provision too Broad", *The Australian*, March 3, 2016, p.12, arguing that "offence" is too low a threshold and that section 18C is much broader than required under international law.

Section 18C could be constitutionally challenged by not being based validly on the external affairs power, extending beyond Australia's international obligations. The second challenge is that of the implied freedom of political communication, where the High Court has held that "insult and invective" are part of political debate.

It is likely that these arguments will be raised in the Queensland University of Technology case. And it is about time.

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: — <http://alor.org/>

When ordering journals, 'On Target' and 'New Times Survey' – Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to – 'ALOR Journals'

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to -- Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to-- 'Australian League of Rights' or 'ALOR'

Books are available at meetings or by mail order from the following addresses:

Victoria, Tasmania:

Heritage Bookshop,
Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street,
Melbourne, 3000
(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).
Phone: (03) 9600 0677

South Australia:

Heritage Book Mailing Service,
P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA
Phone: (08) 7123 7131;

All Other States:

To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: <http://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Note: The views expressed in these articles are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policy of the Australian League of Rights.